Application No. 10/541,578 Automay Ducket: 2016-03

REMARKS

Applicant has amended the specification, abstract and claims in full compliance with the Examiner's teaching. In specific, each and every 'inflammable' throughout the instant application has been replaced with "non-flammable" to correctly deliver the meaning as intended by the applicant. No arguments presented in this amendment.

Claim Rejections under 35 U.S.C. §112

The examiner has rejection all pending claims 1-9 under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as invention, stating that "inflammable" in claims 1, 6 and 8 is used to mean "will not burn or ignite", while the accepted meaning is "to burn". In response, applicant has replaced every "inflammable" that appears in Specification, Abstract and Claims with "non-flammable" to clearly mean "will not burn or ignite" as the applicant intended to, and accordingly amended are claims 1, 4, 6 and 8 where claim 4 has been further amended to delete "such as" and "ete" for definiteness. The word "inflammable" does not exist throughout the instant application after this amendment. Withdrawal of the rejections is respectfully requested.

Applicant now believes that claim rejections under 35 U.S.C. §112 are overcome with this amendment and all pending claims 1-9 are in condition for allowance. Accordingly, it is respectfully requested that a timely notice of allowance on this case be issued in due course.

Respectively submitted,

Date: February 25, 2008

James E. Bame

Regis. No. 44521 Tel: 213-384-7200 IPLA P A

3580 Wilshire Blvd 17th Fl. Los Angeles, CA 90010